

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America

v.

Armani Davis-Malone

Date of Original Judgment: 6/16/2023

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Case No: 0645 2:22CR20362(1)USM No: 07106-510Natasha Webster

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☐ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☒ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

The defendant's new guideline range is 57 to 71 months. The defendant received a sentence of 60 months custody. As the defendant's original sentence is at the lower end of the new guideline range, a sentence reduction is DENIED.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.Order Date: February 5, 2024s/Gershwin A. Drain

Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Gershwin A. Drain, U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title